

THE STATE OF UTAH OFFICE OF STATE ENGINEER SALT LAKE CITY

T. H. HUMPHERYS
STATE ENGINEER

May 24, 1939

RE	EL:	EAST :	FORK	VIRGIN	OR	LONG	VALLEY	WATER	DISTR.	
Memorandum f	or	Office	Stud	y Only,	by		Aı	ustin 1	Burton	 -

There are three companies and about six or eight individual water users to be served under this distribution. These last mentioned are all junior to the irrigation companies and are all on the head of the creek above Glendale, and as a rule each has a private ditch. The Glendale Irrigation Company has a ditch on each side of the creek. The Orderville Irrigation Company has but one diversion, but the Mt. Carmel Irrigation Company has two ditches, one on each side of the creek.

None of the private ditches have any sort of measuring device.

Each of the company ditches has a make-shift weir. All but one were intended to be Cipollettis. The one is rectangular but has the ends put in backwards.

I found that the resolution asked for has been partly signed up. The three companies were contacted and each appears anxious to have the resolution finished, sent in, and Mr. Hoyt appointed as Commissioner. I found that Mr. Hoyt has already started the distribution of the water as best he can with the setup as it is. I explained to Mr. Hoyt how a weir board should be made and installed, and how he should make his readings. I also explained to him that he use great care to see that his work is right and that he keep a complete record of all that he does, and of every condition that he finds. I think that with just a little coaching he should do very well.

I asked that the companies and the individual users all let Mr. Hoyt supervise the installation of uniform weir boards in the ditches and this I think they will do.

In two or three instances individual users are claiming springs that are tributary to the creek rising or flowing through their ranches, even though they were not mentioned in the decree. These waters are claimed in addition to the water given to them by the decree. In one instance there is effort being made to change the point of diversion and place of use of water without making application for such right or giving consideration to rights affected or extra cost for such distribution. I explained to Mr. Hoyt just what the law requires in this respect.

The resolution has now been given to Mr. Hoyt to get the remaining signatures on his next trip of distribution, and should reach the office within the next few days.